Approved For Release 2002/05/20 : CIA-RDP 0T00294A0 1200090004-9 TOTAL COPIES OPSEC PERSON UNIT NOTIFIED STATE ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/S ACTION UNIT FILE . 6 101 USSR-4 ACTION # clavilos-13, DICRS-14, S PAGE TOR: 2414452 SEP 75 D R 1718452 SEP 75 FM USMISSION NATO TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3604 INFO RUFHST/USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA 0157 BT TOPSECRET SECTION 4 OF 4 NATO 05070 EXDIS CORRECTED COPY FOR OMITTED PORTION NUBERED PAR 24. 20. VALLAUX (FRANCE) ASKED IF THE US WERE SURE, ASSUMING A RPARTICULAR AS RAFT WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE AGGREGATE, THAT RIHE SOVIET UNION COULD NOT VADE THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE AGREE-MENT BY BUILDING A NEW VERSION THAT WAS SOMEWHAT LARGER AND MORE CAPABLE THAN THE ONE NOT INCLUDED. EARLE REPLIED THAT THE PROBGEM EXISTED HYPOTHETICALLY. NEVERTHELESS, IT SEEMD ADVISABLE TO SPECIFY BY NAME THE AIRCRAFT TO BE INCLUDED RATHER THAN SPECIFY A PARTICULAR PERFORMANCE CRITERION THAT WOULD CAUSE IT TO BE INCLUDED. IN THE LATTER CASE, THERE WOULD BE THE PROBLEM OF A SIDE DESIGNING AROUND THE LIMITATION, FOR EXAMPLE, IF TAKEOFF WEIGHT WERE THE CRITERION, AN AIRCRAFT COULD BE SPECIALLY DESIGNED WITH A WEIGHT ONE POUND LESS THAN THE THRESHOLD BUT WITH THE CAPABILITIES OF A HEAVY BOMBER. THE US COULD NOT TAKE ACCOUNT OF ALL EVENTUALITIES, AND INDEED, THIS WAS ONE OF THE REASONS FOR THE PROVISION IN THE SCC ARTICLE EMPOWERING THE SCC TO CONSIDER QUESTIONS OF COMPLIANCE AND RELATED QUESTIONS THAT MAY APPEAR AMBCGUOUS. 21. THOMSON (UK) EXPRESSED HIS HOPE THAT THE UNITED STATES WOULD NOT AGREE TO THE 600-KILOMETER LIMIT ON SEA-BASED AND AIR-BASED CRUISE MISSILES, IN VIEW OF SOVIET AIR DEFENSES. HE THEN ASKED THREE QUESTIONS: (1) DOES THE SOVIET UNION ACCEPT THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN MINUTEMAN III AND MINUTEMAN II? (2) AMONG THE CRUCIAL ISSUES REMAINING, TO WHAT EXTENT IS EITHER SIDE MAKING LINKAGES? HE NOTED AS A POSSIBLE EXAMPLE THAT AMBASSADUR JOHNSON HAD SAID LESS THAN WHAT THOMSON MIGHT HAVE GUESSED HE WOULD HAVE SAID WITH RESPECT TO LAND-BASED AND AIR-BASED MOBILE ICBMS. (3) DID EARLE GET THE IMPRESSION THAT THE SOVIETS WERE SERIOUS ABOUT REDUCTIONS? EARLE REPLIED AS FOLLOWS: (1) THE SOVIETS TAKE THE POSITION THAT THEY CAN DISTINGUISH BETWEEN MINUTEMAN III AND MINUTEMAN II, WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH THEIR POSITION THAT NTM ARE SUFFICIENT FOR VERIFICATION PURPOSES.

(2) THERE HAS BEEN VERY LITTLE ATTEMPT AT TRADING OFF IN THE

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED			ease 2002/05/20; CIA-RDP80T00294AQ		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITE STATE MESSAGE		
ADVANCE COP	Y. 181	SUED/SLOTTED	BY AT Z		14		
ACTION UNIT	-		vr .	2	5		
	N	personal and the state of the s		3	6		
ACTION #	F						
		EIAOOO	PAGE Ø2	The state of the s	NC 78008		
T 3325)) 7	ETWORD	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 				
			TOR: 2414452 SEP 75				

NEGOTIATIONS EXCEPT IN MINOR MATTERS, AIR MOBELES AND LAND MIBILES, AS AMBASSADOR JOHNSON HAD SAID, ARE RELATED, BUT THE RELATIONSHIP HAS NOT BEEN ARTICULATED. (3) THE SOVIET UNION IS CURRENTLY RESISTING REDUCTION BY OCTOBER 3, 1977 TO THE AGREED 2400 LEVEL, AND HAVE PROPOSED THEY HAVE AN ADDITIONAL 12 MONTHS AFTER THAT DATE TO REACH IT. OUR POSITION IS THAT THEY MUST REDUCE TO THIS LEVEL BY THAT DATE, CLEARLY, 12 MONTHS IS FAR TOO LONG AN EXTENSION. THE SIDES ARE IN DISAGREEMENT ON THIS SUBJECT. AS FOR FUTURE REDUCTIONS, ALTHOUGH THE SOVIETS AGREED IN THE PREAMBLE TO AN OBJECTIVE OR REDUCTIONS, NOT MERELY POSSIBLE RREDUC ONS, IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO PREDICT THEIR WILLINGNESS TO MAKE FURTHER REDUCTIONS IN THE FUTURE. 22. VALLAUX (FRANCE) NOTED THAT THE QUESTION OF AIR-LAUNCHED AND SEA-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILES WAS VERY IMPORTANT TO EUROPE IN THE CONTEXT OF NON-TRANSFER AND ASKED IF THE UNITED STATES WERE AWARE OF THIS INTEREST. EARLE REPLIED THAT WE ARE. 23. IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTION BY HOSTERT (LUXEMBOURG), EARLE REPLIED THAT THE HEAVY MISSILE DEFINITION WAS IN TERMS OF THROW-WEIGHT OR VOLUME GREATER THAN THAT OF THE LARGEST NON-HEAVY MISSILE, ALTHOUGH SOMEWHAT TAUTOLOGICAL, GIVEN THE SALT HISTORY AND NEGOTIATING RECORD, IT WAS WELL UNDERSTOOD BY BOTH SIDES. 24. WILLOT (BELIGUM) NOTED THAT IN THE PAST THE SOVIETS HAD MENTIONED INCLUSION OF THE F-111 IN THE AGGREGATE. HAVE THEY MENTIONED IT SINCE EARLE HAD LAST VISITED? EARLE REPLIED NO. (ITALY), NOTING EUROPEAN INTEREST IN IMPLEMENTATION 25. DA RI OF THE CSCE AGREEMENT, ASKED IF THE SCC HAD PROVEN USEFUL IN IMPLEMENTING THE SALT ONE AGREEMENTS. EARLE REPLIED, THAT, AS AMBASSADOR JCHNSON HAD SAID (REFTEL C), THE SCC DISCUSSIONS WERE USEFUL AND BUSINESSLIKE, IN EARLE'S VIEW, THE PROCEDURES FOR DISMANTLING OR DESTROYING OLDER ICBM SITES NEGOTIATED IN THE SCC HAD BEEN DETAILED AND FORTHCOMING ON THE SOVIET PART. SO FAR AS THE CURRENT NEGOTIATIONS ON THE TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE SCC IN THE FUTURE, THE PRINCIPAL SOVIET CONCERN APPEARS TO BE THAT PROCEDURES REGARDING THE REPLACEMENT OF NEW SYSTEMS AND THE CONVERSION OF EXISTING SYSTEMS COULD REPRESENT A US ATTEMPT TO CUNTROL THE SOVIET RATE OF CONVERSION FROM NON+MIRV MISSILE LAUNCHERS TO MIRV MISSILE LAUNCHERS. IN THE NEGOTIATIONS OVER THESE TERMS OF REFERENCE, THE US HAS REFINED ITS LANGUAGGE TO EXCLUDE THIS INTERPRETATION. GIVEN THE SOVIET PENCHANT FOR RECRECY, Y ARE RELUCTANT TO GIVE ASSURANCES THAT THEY WILL

TOPSECRET

ABT SEC DISSEM BY PER		TOPSECRET							REPRODUCTION BY OTHER TH ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITE			
ERSON UNIT NOTIFIED										STATE MESSAGE		
DYANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED	<u>BY</u>	AT							1	4	The region of the latest section of the late	
CTION UNIT RF. FILE . VE	₹.,								2	5		
N									3	6		
ACTION #								·····			L	
0												
T 332535 EIACOO		P	A GE	Ξ 0	3-	03			And the second s	NC 780	Ø8	

PROVIDE INFORMATION TO ANY EXTENT IN EXCESS OF WHAT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL. HOWEVER, THEY HAVE MOVED TOWARD THE US POSITION ON THE SCC TERMS OF REFERENCE AND THEY MAY COME FARTHER. THEY APPEAR TO CONTINUE TO TAKE THE SCC QUITE SERIOUSLY. R 26. CHAIRMAN PABSCH ANKED MR. EARLE FOR HIS INFORMATIVE AND VERY USEFUL RESPONSES. IN LINE WITH ACTING NAC CHAIRMAN DE STAERKE'S REQUEST OF AMBASSADOR JOHNSON (REFTEL C), HE ADDED HIS HOPE THAT THERE WOULD BE FREQUENT EXPERTS' MEETINGS IN THE FUTURE. HE THEN CONCLUDED THE MEETING. BRUCE